ISSN 2075-9827 e-ISSN 2313-0210 Carpathian Math. Publ. 2015, 7 (2), 254–258 doi:10.15330/cmp.7.2.254-258



# FEDAK O.I.<sup>1</sup>, ZAGORODNYUK A.V.<sup>2</sup>

## STRICTLY DIAGONAL HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON BANACH SPACES

In this paper we investigate the boundedness of holomorphic functionals on a Banach space with a normalized basis  $\{e_n\}$  which have very special form  $f(x) = f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n^n$  and which we call strictly diagonal. We consider under which conditions strictly diagonal functions are entire and uniformly continuous on every ball of a fixed radius.

Key words and phrases: holomorphic functions on Banach space, base on Banach space.

### INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let *X* be a separable complex Banach space with a normalized basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . A holomorphic function *f* on an open ball B(0, r) of *X* centered at zero (of finite or infinite radius *r*) will be called *strictly diagonal* with respect to the basis if it is of the form

$$f(x) = f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n^n, \quad x \in X, \quad \text{where} \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n.$$
 (1)

We can *associate* a formal power series with f in such way

$$\gamma(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \qquad c_0 = f(0), \qquad t \in \mathbb{C}$$

and we will write  $\gamma = \gamma_f$  and  $f = f_{\gamma}$  if it is necessary. Note that the strictly diagonal function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^n$  is the well-known example [4, p. 169] of entire function on  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$  or on  $c_0$  which is not of bounded type (the radius of boundedness at zero is equal to one). On the other hand its associated series  $\gamma(t)$  well defines a holomorphic function only on the open unit disk  $\mathbb{D}_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ . More examples of entire holomorphic functions which are not bounded on all bounded sets can be found in [1, 2, 3].

The purpose of this paper is to examine properties of strictly diagonal holomorphic functions in terms of associated power series and construct some new interesting examples of holomorphic functions on X.

Let us recall that a continuous function  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is said to be *holomorphic* at a point  $a \in X$  if it has power series representation

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$$

УДК 517.98

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics, 3b Naukova str., 79060, Lviv, Ukraine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Vasyl Stefanyk Precarpathian National University, 57 Shevchenka str., 76018, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine

E-mail: aknelo@i.ua(Fedak O.I.), andriyzag@yahoo.com(Zagorodnyuk A.V.)

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 46G20, 46G25.

in a neighborhood of *a*, where  $f_n$  are continuous *n*-homogeneous polynomials. A function *f* is *entire* if it is holomorphic at each point of *X*. The space of all entire functions on *X* is denoted by H(X).

The *radius of uniform convergence* of a function *f* at *a* can be calculated by formula

$$\rho_a(f) = (\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|f_n\|^{\frac{1}{n}})^{-1}$$

and coincides with the radius of boundedness. In particular, each entire function is uniformly bounded on the ball B(a, r) centered at a of radius r if  $r < \rho_a(f)$  and unbounded on B(a, r) if  $r > \rho_a(f)$ .

For details on holomorphic functions on Banach spaces we refer the reader to [4, 5, 7].

### 1 MAIN RESULTS

Throughout in this section f is a strictly diagonal function defined by (1).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\delta > 0$  and

$$\gamma(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n$$

converges in the open  $\delta$ -disk  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta} = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < \delta\}$ . Then  $f_{\gamma} \in H(X)$  and  $\rho_z(f_{\gamma}) \ge \delta$  for every  $z \in X$ .

*Proof.* For a given  $x \in X$  let  $n_0$  be a number such that  $|x_n| \le r < \delta$  for every  $n > n_0$ . Then

$$|f_{\gamma}(x)| \leq \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{n_0} c_k x_k\Big| + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} |c_k||x_k| \leq \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{n_0} c_k x_k\Big| + \sum_{k=n_0+1}^{\infty} |c_k| r^k < \infty.$$

So  $f_{\gamma}$  is well-defined at any point of *X*. Clearly  $f_{\gamma}$  is *G*-holomorphic and

$$\rho_0(f_{\gamma}) = \left(\limsup_{n \to \infty} |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{-1} = \rho_0(\gamma) \ge \delta.$$

This, in particular, means that  $f_{\gamma}$  is locally bounded at 0 and so it is holomorphic. Let *z* be a fixed element in *X*. For any  $0 < r < \delta$  let  $m_0$  be a number such that  $|z_n| < \frac{\delta - r}{2} \forall n > m_0$ . Then for every  $x \in X$ , ||x|| < r, we have

$$|f_{\gamma}(x+z)| \leq \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{m_0} c_k (z_k + x_k)^k \Big| + \sum_{m_0+1}^{\infty} c_k |(z_k + x_k)|^k \leq \Big|\sum_{k=0}^{m_0} c_k (z_k + x_k)^k \Big| + \Big|\gamma \Big(\frac{\delta - r}{2} + r\Big)\Big|.$$

Let us denote

$$c(z,r):=\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{m_0}c_k(z_k+x_k)^k\Big|+\Big|\gamma\Big(\frac{\delta-r}{2}+r\Big)\Big|.$$

Then for every  $z \in X$  and  $r < \delta$ ,  $f_{\gamma}$  is bounded in B(z, r) by the constant c(z, r) which depends only on z and r. That is,  $\rho_z(f_{\gamma}) \ge \delta$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be boundedly complete if for every sequence of numbers  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\sup \|\sum_{n=1}^{m} b_n e_n\| < \infty$  the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e_n$  converges to a vector in X.

Note that the standard basis in  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , is boundedly complete while in  $c_0$  it is not. Moreover if  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is not boundedly complete, then it contains a subsequence equivalent to the standard basis in  $c_0$  (see [6]).

**Definition 1.2.** We say that *K* is the index of boundedness of  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  if

$$||x|| = ||\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n|| = \delta > 0$$

implies that the cardinality of set  $\{x_k : |x_k| = \delta\}$  does not exceed *K*.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a normalized basis of a Banach space X which has a finite index of boundedness K and  $\gamma(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n$  is holomorphic and bounded on the disk  $\mathbb{D}_{\delta}$ . Then  $f_{\gamma} \in H(X)$  and for every  $z \in X$ ,  $f_{\gamma}$  is bounded on  $B(z, \delta)$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 1 it follows that  $f_{\gamma} \in H(X)$ . For a given  $x \in X$ , ||x|| < 1, we have

$$|f_{\gamma}(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{K} c_n \delta^n + \sup_{|t| < \delta} |\gamma(t)|.$$

So

$$\sup_{\|x\|<1} |f_{\gamma}(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{K} c_n \delta^n + \sup_{|t|<\delta} |\gamma(t)| < \infty$$

and  $f_{\gamma}$  is bounded on  $B(0, \delta)$ . Using the same work like in Theorem 1 we can show that  $f_{\gamma}$  is bounded on  $B(z, \delta)$  for every fixed  $z \in X$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let us suppose that there are  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and positive integer  $K_{\varepsilon}$  such that ||x|| = 1 implies card  $\{x_n : |x_n| \le 1 - \varepsilon\} \le K_{\varepsilon} < \infty$ . Then we say that  $K_{\varepsilon}$  is the index of  $\varepsilon$ -boundedness of the basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

Clearly that if *X* has an index of  $\varepsilon$ -boundedness  $K_{\varepsilon}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $K_{\varepsilon} = K$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\infty}^{k}$  (the  $\ell_1$ -sum). That is, for every

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j}^{k} e_{j}^{k} = (x_{1}^{1}, x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{3}, \ldots), \qquad x \in X,$$

we have

$$||x|| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max_{1 \le j \le k} |x_j^k|.$$

Basis  $\{e_j^k\}_{k=1,j=1}^{\infty, k}$  is boundedly complete. Indeed, let  $\{b_j^k\}_{k=1,j=1}^{\infty, k}$  be a sequence of numbers such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \max_{1 \le j \le k} |b_j^k| < c$  for every m and some c > 0. Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max_{1 \le j \le k} |b_j^k|$  converges and so  $\sum b_j^k e_j^k \in X$ . On the other hand for every  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  we can pick

$$x_0 = e_1^{k+1} + \dots + e_{k+1}^{k+1}$$

with  $||x_0|| = 1$  and so  $\{e_j^k\}_{k=1,j=1}^{\infty, k}$  has no finite index of boundedness.

**Example 2.** Let *X* be the  $\ell_1$ -sum of  $\ell_n$ ,  $X = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_n^n$  and  $\{e_j^k\}_{k=1,j=1}^{\infty}$  be the natural basis. This basis has the index of boundedness K = 1. Indeed, suppose ||x|| = 1 and for two different coordinates  $|x_i^k| = 1$  and  $|x_i^s| = 1$ . We have two cases:

1) if 
$$k = s$$
, then  $||x|| \ge (|x_i^k|^k + |x_i^k|^k)^{\frac{1}{k}} > 1$ ,

2) if  $k \neq s$ , then  $||x|| \ge 2$ .

This contradicts our assumption. So, just one coordinate may have the absolute value equals one.

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $K_{\varepsilon}$  be a fixed positive integer. Let us find  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(1 - \varepsilon)^{k_0} < \frac{1}{K_{\varepsilon}+1}$ . Let  $m \ge 2 \max(k_0, K_{\varepsilon}+1)$  and

$$x_0 = (1-\varepsilon)e_1^m + \ldots + (1-\varepsilon)e_{k_{\varepsilon}+1}^m,$$

then

$$\|x_0\|^m = (K_{\varepsilon} + 1)(1 - \varepsilon)^m = (K_{\varepsilon} + 1)(1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{2m}{2}} < (K_{\varepsilon} + 1)(1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{m}{2}}(1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{m}{2}} < (K_{\varepsilon} + 1)\frac{1}{(K_{\varepsilon} + 1)}(1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{m}{2}},$$

that is,

$$||x_0|| \le ((1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{m}{2}})^{\frac{1}{m}} = (1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It means that the index of  $\varepsilon$ -boundedness of the basis is greater than  $K_{\varepsilon}$ . Since  $K_{\varepsilon}$  is arbitrary, the basis has no finite index of  $\varepsilon$ -boundedness.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a basis of a Banach space X which has an index of  $\varepsilon$ -boundedness  $K_{\varepsilon}$  for every  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $\gamma(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n t^n$  converges in the disk  $\mathbb{D}_1$ . Then  $f_{\gamma}$  is uniformly continuous on B(z, 1) for every  $z \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove the statement for the case B(0, 1). The general case follows from there like in Theorem 1. Note that  $\gamma(t)$  is uniformly continuous on the closed disk  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{\rho}$  for every  $0 < \rho < 1$ . For a given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  let  $\omega > 0$  be such that

$$|\gamma(t_1) - \gamma(t_2)| < \varepsilon$$
if only  $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$  for  $t_1, t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{1-\varepsilon/2}$ . Let  $x, y \in X$ ,  $||x|| \le 1$ ,  $||y|| \le 1$ ,
$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n, \quad y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n e_n.$$
(2)

Then there is a number  $m \leq K_{\varepsilon} + 1$  such that for

$$\widetilde{x} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} x_n e_n$$
 and  $\widetilde{y} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} y_n e_n$ 

 $\|\widetilde{x}\| < 1 - \varepsilon$  and  $\|\widetilde{y}\| < 1 - \varepsilon$ . Clearly that  $f_{\gamma}$  is uniformly continuous on B(0, 1) if and only if

$$f_{\gamma}^{c} := \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} c_{n} x_{n}^{r}$$

is uniformly continuous on B(0,1). If  $\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}\| < \delta$ , then  $\|x_k - y_k\| < \delta$  for  $k \ge m$ . Let  $r = \sup_{k>m} \|x_k - y_k\|$ . Then from (2) we obtain

$$\|f_{\gamma}(x)-f_{\gamma}(y)\|=|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty}c_n(x_n^n-y_n^n)|\leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty}|c_n(x_n^n-y_n^n)|\leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty}c_nr^n<\varepsilon.$$

**Example 3.** Let  $\gamma(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n$ , then the entire function  $f_{\gamma}$  is uniformly continuous on a unit ball centered at any point in  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ . But it is not bounded in the unit ball in  $c_0$ . Indeed let  $x^n = e_1 + e_2 + \ldots + e_n \in c_0$ , then  $f(x^n) = n \to \infty$ . By the same way it is possible to show that if  $\gamma(t)$  is unbounded in  $\mathbb{D}_1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ , then  $f_{\gamma}(x)$  is unbounded in the unit ball of  $c_0$ .

**Proposition 1.1.**  $f_{\gamma}$  is bounded on  $B(z, r) \subset c_0$  for every  $z \in c_0$  if and only if  $\gamma(t)$  converges absolutely on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r$ .

*Proof.* If  $\gamma(t)$  converges absolutely on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_r$ , then it is easy that  $f_{\gamma}$  is bounded on  $B(z,r) \subset c_0$  for every  $z \in c_0$ . To prove the converse statement without loss of the generality we assume that r = 1. If  $\gamma(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n t^n$  does not converges absolutely on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_1$ , then there are numbers  $b_n$ ,  $|b_n| = 1$ , such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n b_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $x_n = \sqrt[n]{b_n}$  and  $x^n = \sum_{n=1}^m x_n e_n$ . Clearly  $||x^m||_{e_0} = 1$  and  $f_{\gamma}(x^m) = \sum_{n=1}^m c_n b_n = m \to \infty$  so  $f_{\gamma}(x)$  is unbounded on B(0, 1).

#### REFERENCES

- Ansemil J.M., Aron R.M., Ponte S. Representation of Spaces of Entire Functions on Banach Spaces. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 2009, 45 (2), 383–391.
- [2] Ansemil J.M., Aron R.M., Ponte S. Behavior of entire functions on balls in a Banach space. Indag. Math. (N.S.) 2009, 20 (4), 483–489. doi:10.1016/S0019-3577(09)80021-9
- [3] Aron R.M. Entire functions of unbounded type on a Banach space. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 1974, 9 (4), 28–31.
- [4] Dineen S. Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces. In: Mathematics Studies, 57. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1981.
- [5] Dineen S. Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces. In: Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, London, 1999. doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-0869-6
- [6] Lindestrauss J., Tzafriri L. Classical Banach spaces I. Sequence Spaces. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [7] Mujica J. Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1986.

Received 15.09.2015

Федак О.І., Загороднюк А.В. *Строго діагональні голоморфні функції на банахових просторах* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2015. — Т.7, №2. — С. 254–258.

Досліджено обмеженість голоморфних функцій на банахових просторах з базисом  $\{e_n\}$ , які мають дуже спеціальний вигляд  $f(x) = f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x_n^n$  і які ми називаємо строго діагональними. Розглянуто при яких умовах строго діагональні функції будуть цілими і рівномірно обмеженими на всіх кулях фіксованого радіуса.

*Ключові слова і фрази:* голоморфні функції на банахових просторах, базиси в банахових просторах.